





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,988 01/19/2002		Philip G. Chauvet		5169	
7:	590 10/08/2002				
Philip Chauve			EXAM	INER	
6644 Rippling I Salem, OR 97	Brook Dr., S.E. 301		CHAMBERS,	MICHAEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 _	

cation No. | Applica

Application No. 10/053,988 Applicant(s)

CHAUVET ET AL

Examiner

Office Action Summary

M. Chambers

Art Unit **3711**

Ш	Ш	Ш	М	ии	Ш	
Ш	KII	ш	Ш	aa		
Н	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	H	H	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no mailing date of this communication. 	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the s If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 19, 20</u>	002
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ai	re a∏ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∭ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to th	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have t 	peen received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have to	peen received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu application from the International Bureau 	uments have been received in this National Stage (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional \square	application has been received.
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

This Office Action is a response to the Application filed on:

ļ	Number	Name	Date	Claims	Independent Claims
į	10/053988	Chauvet et al	1/19/02	14	1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DIY .DIY discloses an inflatable goal, a round tubular center ring with 4 tubular uprights (figure B).

As to claim 2: DIY discloses a round tubular center ring with tubular uprights (figure B).

As to claim 5: DIY discloses a free standing upright hoop (figure B).

As to claim 8: DIY discloses a goal capable of floating on water (figure B).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DIY in view of Official Notice. DIY does not disclose the use of a net. Nets are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed a net with the device of DIY in order for the players to more easily see and shoot at the goal.

Claims 4, 6,7,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DIY in view of Caruso. DIY discloses the elements in claim 5. However DIY fails to clearly disclose an

outer casing. Caruso discloses an outer casing (14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed the casing of Caruso with the apparatus of DIY in order to better protect the inflatable goal.

As to claim 6: Caruso discloses a plurality of bladders (3:14).

As to claim 7: No criticality is seen in the slots. One of ordinary skill in the art would have selected any one of several equivalent means for allowing insertion of the framework.

As to claim 9, 10: Caruso discloses a casing formed of non-elastic material (2:33-34).

2. Claim 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DIY in view of Johnson. DIY discloses the elements in claim 12. However DIY fails to clearly disclose flaps for anchoring the sport's goal. Johnson discloses flaps for anchoring the sport's goal (28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have employed the flaps of Johnson with the apparatus of DIY in order to better secure the inflatable goal.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DIY in view of Official Notice. DIY does not clearly disclose the use of ballast. The use of sand/water ballast is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed ballast with the device of DIY in order prevent the goal from being blown away.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DIY in view of Official Notice. DIY does not clearly disclose the use of a valve means. Valves are well known in the

October 4, 2002 Art Unit-3711-10/053988 Page Number 4 art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

have employed valve means with the device of DIY in order easily move the device.

It would appear that this application contains patentable subject matter. If a suitably specific claim containing a number of specifically recited features co-operating together were submitted or if the pro-se requested the examiner formulate an appropriate claim, the application would be viewed favorably.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and relied upon.

Patent Number	Date	Patent Name		Notes
5546707	8/20/96	Caruso		
5865693	2/2/99	Johnson	_	-
NPL	12/99	DIA		http://www3.diynet.com/DIY/article/0,2058,3485,00.html

NOTE: 1) If Applicant believes they have not received all of the cited references noted in this office action, they should <u>call</u> the examiner listed below within one (1) week of receiving this notice in order to obtain duplicate material and reset the time frame of this office action. If the applicant fails to request additional materials in a timely manner, the requested materials will be resent, but the applicant will have to obtain a time extension in the normal fashion. 2) Unless claims are noted on the office action summary page and this document as allowable, all claims are rejected. If a typing error creates a some confusion, the examiner apologizes for the error and requests the examiner be contacted to resolve the question. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 306-5516. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell, can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302--After final fax number-- (703) 872-9303. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1078.